

**Remarks**

Claims 23-31 are pending. Claims 1-22 are cancelled and new Claims 23-31 are added in this Response. The title has been amended to more accurately reflect the claimed subject matter.

New Claim 23 requires the selected destination device requesting that the input peripheral begin transmitting data to the selected destination device (in response to the input peripheral notifying the selected destination device that the device has been selected to receive data) and, in response to the requesting, the input peripheral scanning a document and transmitting data representing the document to the selected destination device. So far as Applicant can determine, there is nothing in the cited references that teaches or even suggests the destination device effectively initiating the scan through the sequence of acts recited in Claim 23.

New Claim 27 requires:

displaying a user interface from which one or more of multiple destination devices may be selected to receive data from an input peripheral having a scanning capability and from which a resource on one or more of the multiple destination devices may be selected to receive data from the input peripheral;

the input peripheral notifying a selected destination device that the device and a resource on the device have been selected to receive data;

in response to the notifying, the selected destination device acknowledging a notification from the input peripheral; and

in response to an acknowledgement from the selected destination device, the input peripheral scanning a document and transmitting data representing the document to a resource on the selected destination device selected to receive data from the input peripheral.


So far as Applicants can determine, there is nothing in the cited references that teaches or even suggests the primary/secondary level destination selection and transmission reflected in the sequence of acts recited in Claim 27.

New Claims 28-31 are computer medium counterparts to Claims 23-25 and 27.

The case is now felt to be in condition for allowance. The foregoing is believed to be a complete response to the outstanding Office Action.

Respectfully submitted,  
Janine Helms

By



Steven R. Ormiston  
Reg. No. 35,974  
208.433.1991 x204

August 4, 2004